	Case 2:20-cv-00951-KJM-AC Documen	t 24 Filed 01/27/23	Page 1 of 2
1			
2			
3			
4			
5			
6			
7			
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	TANIKA McCOTTER,	No. 2:20-cv-0951 l	KJM AC PS
12	Plaintiff,		
13	V.	<u>ORDER</u>	
14	AZ MOBILE APPLICATIONS, LLC,		
15	Defendant.		
16			
17	Plaintiff, proceeding pro se, filed the above-entitled action. The matter was referred to a		
18	United States Magistrate Judge as provided by Local Rule 302(c)(21).		
19	On October 31, 2022, the magistrate judge filed findings and recommendations, which		
20	were served on all parties and which contained notice to all parties that any objections to the		
21	findings and recommendations were to be filed within twenty-one days. ECF No. 23.		
22	Neither party has filed objections to the findings and recommendations.		
23	The court presumes that any findings of fact are correct. See Orand v. United States,		
24	602\ F.2d 207, 208 (9th Cir. 1979). The magistrate judge's conclusions of law are reviewed		
25	de novo. See Robbins v. Carey, 481 F.3d 1143, 1147 (9th Cir. 2007) ("[D]eterminations of law		
26	by the magistrate judge are reviewed de novo by both the district court and [the appellate] court		
27	"). Having reviewed the file, the court finds the findings and recommendations to be		
28	supported by the record and by the proper analysis.		
		1	

## Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that: 1. The findings and recommendations filed October 31, 2022, are adopted in full; and 2. This action is dismissed, without prejudice, for lack of prosecution and for failure to comply with the court's order. See Fed. R. Civ. P. 41 (b); Local Rule 110. DATED: January 27, 2023. UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Case 2:20-cv-00951-KJM-AC Document 24 Filed 01/27/23 Page 2 of 2